

NOTIFICATION OF CALL-IN FOR SUBMISSION TO THE MONITORING OFFICER

ITEM	Marches Enterprise Zone
Decision Maker and Reference Number	Marches LEP Joint Executive Committee 2014-15.Cab.022.Key
Date of decision	11-12-14
<p>This notification must state: Which decision or which part of a decision is being called in. The grounds for the call in as laid out in rule 4.5.16.5 (see overleaf)</p>	<p>This call-in qualifies under 4.5.16.5 part a and c for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) where there is evidence which suggests that issues have not been handled in accordance with the decision-making principles set out in this Constitution <p>and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> b) where a key decision has been taken of which notice was not given in accordance with the requirements in the Access to Information Rules (Part 4 Section 2), and is not subject to the urgency procedures set out in this Constitution; <p>and possibly (see text below)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> c) where a decision is outside the Budget and Policy Framework <p>A report or reports which have been relied upon by officers to inform their opinion and recommendations to decision-makers have been referenced in the report but not listed and made available as background papers. These include, but are not limited to, 'the DTZ report'.</p> <p>Without access to and sight of these documents it is not possible for councillors, and the public, to be assured that this decision has been made in accordance with the decision-making principles of the council's constitution, and that the decision is within the Budget & Policy Framework.</p> <p>Specifically, failure to produce the supporting report/s goes against para 2.11.1.1(f) of the constitution which states: "When the Council takes a decision it will (f) explain what options were considered and give the reasons for the decision." In particular with regard to the detail of the financial implications of the options considered and the future commitment of public monies, incurring of risk, and the ongoing funding commitment to the LEP should the infrastructure project/s concerned not receive funding.</p>

	<p>Further concerns regarding the substance of the decision and the options considered cannot be ruled out at this stage. Signatories to this call-in, and by implication the wider scrutiny committee, reserve the right to raise any such concerns as part of the call-in, once the background papers are made available.</p> <p>We request that all information, reports and opinion relied upon by officers and decision-makers be referenced and made available in support of this decision and its call-in.</p> <p>In the event that any of these documents are judged to contain exempt information - redacted versions of the documents should have been made available to the public, and unredacted versions made available - with appropriate assurances given regarding their handling, to councillors.</p>
--	---

In accordance with Scrutiny Procedure Rules set out at Part 4 Section 5 of the Constitution we confirm that we wish to call in this decision.

Signatory	Councillors
	1. Chris Chappell 2. Alan Seldon 3. Liz Harvey
1	Chris Chappell
2	Alan Seldon
3	Liz Harvey

Return to Monitoring Officer (c/o Democratic Services)

Received by Democratic Services.....Date 16 December 2014